Tab B

CHALLENGE INSPECTION OFFICER (CIO)

NOTE: For facilities located in a Host Country, Use Tab S

Tab B provides the CIO with a chronological checklist of procedures to manage and coordinate the hosting of a Chemical Weapons Convention (CWC) challenge inspection. Within hours of initial notification of impending inspection, a Department of the Navy (DON) Tiger Team will be en route to the inspection site to assist with planning and preparation efforts. The Tiger Team consists of CWC inspection experts who will assist the command throughout the inspection. Status of the Tiger Team, including the estimated arrival time, may be determined by calling the Naval Treaty Implementation Program (202) 764-0910, DSN 764-0910. The secondary number is (202) 764-0920, DSN 764-0920. For more specific information on the Tiger Team and the assistance it provides, see Appendix A1.

RESPONSIBILITIES

The CIO is designated by the Commanding Officer (CO) and is responsible for the overall management and coordination of the challenge inspection preparation and support activities. The CIO is the CO's primary representative during the course of a CWC challenge inspection. The position requires the appropriate level of authority to ensure proper coordination within the command. If appropriate, the Executive Officer (XO) should be designated as the CIO. Specific CIO duties include the following:

- Supervise those time-sensitive activities (perimeter self-monitoring, Inspection Ops Center setup, formation of the Inspection Planning Staff [IPS]) that must be accomplished by the facility before the arrival of the Tiger Team.
- Supervise the preparation and presentation of the pre-inspection briefings.
- Provide direction to all the major inspection activities being coordinated/managed within the Inspection Ops Center throughout the entire inspection.
- Assist the CO during the perimeter negotiations process (Phases 2 and 3).
- Conduct after-action reviews of inspection activities and develop lessons learned.

BACKGROUND

DON facilities selected for a challenge inspection under the CWC are unlikely to be familiar with the provisions of the verification regime or the planning and implementation steps necessary to host a CWC challenge inspection. The checklists in this Inspection Readiness Plan (IRP) are designed to get facility personnel working productively on the unfamiliar inspection process, even before the arrival of the Tiger Team. The DON CWC inspection support Tiger Team will be en route to your facility within the first 12 hours following notification of the intent to conduct an inspection to assist the command during the inspection process. Additionally, a representative from the Naval Treaty Implementation Program will coordinate the challenged command and DON inputs with U.S. Government (USG) negotiators at the U.S. point of entry (POE).

B-2 CWC Challenge IRP

Naval Treaty Implementation Program (SP-2025) TOC Phone: (202) 764-0920 Admin: (202) 764-0910

DSN 764-0920

Challenge Inspection Officer's (CIO) Checklist

Phase 1 — Notification/Initial Planning & Response

Phase 1 is the time period from initial notification to the start of self-monitoring. Self-monitoring must begin NLT 12 hours after the International Inspection Team (IIT) arrives at the U.S. point of entry (POE).

The IIT has a 72-hour period for perimeter activities upon arrival, followed by up to 84 hours in which to inspect the facility and satisfy its mandate. Toward this end, it is conceivable that negotiators could elect to conduct inspection activities over a 24-hour period vice normal or extended working hours. This information is provided for consideration when picking personnel to fill billets on the Inspection Planning Staff (IPS). Manning details are provided in respective tabs and checklists.

INITIAL NOTIFICATION

1.	Contact the Naval Treaty Implementation Program
	If directed by the Commanding Officer (CO), contact the Naval Treaty Implementation Program, your primary contact with regard to the inspection process. The telephone number is (202) 764-0920. The DSN is 764-0920. The fax number is (202) 764-0930.
2.	Inform appropriate chain of command
	Also inform tenant activities and neighboring commands of the pending inspection. Ensure that SP 2025 (PLAD: NAVARMSCONTROLCOORD WASHINGTON DC//SP 2025//) is included as an INFO addressee for all inspection-related message traffic.

B-4 CWC Challenge IRP

3.	View the "Preparing Department of the Navy Facilities for a Chemical Weaporton Challenge Inspection" video					
		ime allows, view this video to gain an overview of the CWC and initial preparation irements.				
4.	Des	signate Inspection Planning Staff (IPS)	コ			
	with Read strai Com respo	inspection preparation process requires that key individuals be identified, provided appropriate checklists, and instructed to begin implementing their Inspection diness Plan (IRP) checklists immediately. In many cases, the selection will be ghtforward; the facility Communications Officer is the preferred choice as the IPS munications Officer, for example. The selections will be based on finding onsible, experienced, take-charge individuals who are available and can step into an miliar role and achieve results. One individual should be assigned to each of the key tions identified in (a) through (d):				
	(a)	Self-Monitoring Coordinator	コ			
		The first treaty-required inspection activity required to be implemented by the facility is self-monitoring of the requested perimeter. The Self-Monitoring Coordinator ensures all perimeter exits are identified and properly monitored or sealed according to a plan he or she develops. This planning should begin immediately because self-monitoring operations must commence NLT 12 hours after the IIT arrives at the POE.				
		The Self-Monitoring Coordinator should be an individual who knows the facility perimeter and its physical layout and has worked with the facility police staff and with local law enforcement agencies. It is suggested that the facility Security Officer/Provost Marshal be designated as the Self-Monitoring Coordinator (Tab F, Self-Monitoring).				
	(b)	Inspection Ops Center Coordinator	J			
		The Inspection Ops Center Coordinator is responsible for immediate setup and continuing management of the Inspection Ops Center, which is the command, control, communications, and coordination focal point of the inspection. The Inspection Ops Center Coordinator needs to begin the Inspection Ops Center Checklist (Tab C. Inspection Ops Center) immediately.				

B-6 CWC Challenge IRP

(c) Communications Officer.....

The Communications Officer is responsible for rapid installation in the Inspection Ops Center of telephone, facsimile, and modem lines; establishing a mobile radio net for escort and prep team use; and detailing communications personnel round-the-clock as needed. Start the Communications Checklist (Tab K, Communications) immediately.

(d) Base Prep Coordinator

The Base Prep Coordinator is in charge of the base preparation process. The Base Prep Coordinator ensures that areas designated to be inspected per the negotiated inspection plan are prepared to provide access to the IIT while protecting classified, sensitive, and proprietary programs and equipment from inadvertent disclosure. These objectives must be achieved while minimizing disruption of normal operations, activities, and personnel schedules. The Base Prep Coordinator works closely with Operations Security (OPSEC), security, and safety personnel. Experience has demonstrated that he will require at least four or five assistants to carry out his duties. He must begin immediately identifying and listing (dBase format preferred) all building and area coordinators and points of contact who will be instrumental in the preparation/inspection process (Tab D, Base Preparations).

(e) Remainder of the IPS

• Base Escort Coordinator, Tab E

An individual designated to coordinate the training and interaction of Base Escort Teams with the United States Government (USG) Escort Team. In charge of all base escorts, this individual should select 10 to 20 facility personnel, E-7 or civilian equivalent or above, if possible, from which the necessary number of escorts will be selected. Selection shall be based on their ability to react under pressure and think on their feet and on how essential they are to their normal jobs. (These individuals may be the command's on-scene representatives during the inspection.)

B-8 CWC Challenge IRP

Administrative Officer, Tab L

In charge of supplying clerical and other administrative personnel for word processing/general support in the Inspection Ops Center and elsewhere, as needed. This person will work closely with the Inspection Ops Center Coordinator and the Tiger Team's Documentation Specialist.

Supply Officer, Tab L

In charge of keeping the Inspection Ops Center and other facets of the inspection effort supplied with tables, chairs, copiers, fax machines, computers, administrative supplies, etc. Plan on providing one supply person on a 24-hour basis in the Inspection Ops Center until setup is complete.

Public Affairs Officer (PAO), Tab M

Coordinate on behalf of the command outside press/information releases with Naval Treaty Implementation Program prior to release. The Public Affairs Officer needs to quickly prepare a public affairs plan. Informing facility personnel and the general public about the inspection can be beneficial, but should be well thought out and carefully timed.

Safety Officer, Tab J

Individual assigned to deliver necessary safety briefings and ensure the safety of all IIT members and Department of the Navy (DON) personnel for the duration of the inspection.

Legal Officer, Tab N

The designated staff JAG or Legal Officer is responsible for legal planning and advice to the CO during the inspection. A few of the many issues the assigned individual should already be familiar with are the legal issues involved in CWC Treaty implementation, including your Host Country Agreement; local and national laws; diplomatic privileges and immunity; liability for facility related injuries, emergency medical care; and many of the usual issues related to access requirements and contract law. (TAB-N is the Legal checklist)

B-10 CWC Challenge IRP

Medical/Dental Officer, Tab O

Emergency medical care provided by on-site medical staff, if available, or coordination of ambulance transportation, in advance, to a local medical facility are only a few of the many services required by the Chemical Weapons Convention Treaty. (Details are contained in TAB-O).

Physical Security Coordinator, Tab G

The Physical Security Coordinator will have an active role throughout all six phases the inspection, ensuring the physical protection (security) of the International Inspection Team (IIT), crowd control, and badging of personnel. The Physical Security Coordinator will be responsible for liaison with local law enforcement, establishing a badging system (see Base Prep Coordinator functions, TAB-D), and assisting in the implementation of the site self-monitoring plan (see Self-Monitoring Coordinator, TAB-F). Their assignment usually comes through the Safety Officer (see TAB-J).

OPSEC Officer, Tab H

The OPSEC Officer is responsible for ensuring that essential secrecy is maintained by performing proactive OPSEC surveys and using the OPSEC methodology to mitigate possible hostile collection efforts from anyone involved at your facility throughout the course of the inspection.

5. Direct the setup of the Inspection Ops Center \Box

Proper planning and preparation for an inspection begins with the setup of the Inspection Ops Center. Direct the Inspection Ops Center Coordinator and the Communications Officer to review Inspection Ops Center (Tab C) in the IRP. They need to select the location of the Inspection Ops Center for CO's approval and immediately begin setting it up for the inspection. They will need supply and administrative support to set up the Inspection Ops Center quickly. Ensure that the Communications, Administration, and Supply Officers are working with the Inspection Ops Center Coordinator to set up the Inspection Ops Center in rapid order (Tab C, Inspection Ops Center). Also, direct the selection of locations for the IIT private work space, the observer's work space, the briefing area for the IIT, USG escorts' work area, and the negotiations spaces while the inspection party is on site (Tab L, Supply, Transportation and Administration).

B-12 CWC Challenge IRP

Challenge I	nspection	Officer
-------------	-----------	---------

6.	Review the Basic Plan	□
	The Basic Plan section of the IRP provides a good overview of what is expected to occur during the course of a challenge inspection. It is recommended that it be thoroughly reviewed once Steps 1 through 4 of the CIO's Checklist are underway, before the initial planning meeting.	
7.	Review Appendix B1, Inspection Negotiations	. \Box
	Appendix B1 provides a good description of the entire negotiation process that takes place during the course of a CWC challenge inspection and addresses the conduct of an initial vulnerability assessment. The CO or his designated representative should be directly involved with this aspect of the inspection from the start.	

INITIAL INSPECTION PLANNING MEETING

- 8. Before the initial inspection planning meeting complete the following: \Box
 - Provide, for CO's approval, the names of personnel designated to fill positions on the IPS.
 - Schedule a time and place to have the initial inspection planning meeting. The Inspection Ops Center is the preferred location, but do not delay the meeting unnecessarily while deciding on a place for the Inspection Ops Center.
 - Notify all IPS designees to attend the initial inspection planning meeting.

Representative list of command staff members who should attend the initial inspection planning meeting:

- Executive Officer/Chief Staff Officer
- Department Heads
- Command Duty Officer(s)
- Supply Officer
- Security Officer (Provost Marshal)
- Medical Officer
- Legal Officer
- Public Affairs Officer
- Administrative Officer

B-14 CWC Challenge IRP

- Communications Officer
- Public Works Officer
- Transportation Officer
- Operations Officer (harbor, airfield, test ranges, etc.)
- Naval Criminal Investigative Service (NCIS) Resident Agent
- OPSEC Officer
- Counterintelligence Officer
- Contracting Officer
- Safety (Environmental, Hazardous Waste) Officer
- Sampling and Analysis Personnel.

Tenant activity representatives who should attend the initial inspection planning meeting:

- Communications stations, Marine detachments, Marine ordnance test units, explosive ordnance detachments, schools, etc.
- Other resident tenant agencies, services; for example, Air Force, Army, Coast Guard, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Federal Aviation Administration, detachments, etc., and private contractors.

B-16 CWC Challenge IRP

9. Distribute IRP tabs to IPS as follows:

Position	IRP Tabs	No. of Copies
Ops Center Coordinator	Tab C	1
Base Preparation Coordinator	Tab D	5
Base Escort Coordinator	Tab E	5
Self-Monitoring Coordinator	Tab F	2
Facility Security Officer	Tab G	5
OPSEC/CI Officer	Tab H	2
NCIS Resident Agent	Tab I	3
Safety Officer	Tab J	2
Communications Officer	Tab K	2
Administrative Officer	Tab L	1
Supply Officer	Tab L	2
Transportation Officer	Tab L	2
Public Affairs Officer	Tab M	1
Legal Officer	Tab N	1
Medical/Dental Officer	Tab O	2
Tenant Activities, Ships in Port	Basic Plan, Tab D w/App., Tab E w/App., and Tab P.	1

Remove, copy, and distribute relevant IRP tabs to IPS personnel. Each of the IPS positions, along with their respective IRP tabs and recommended number of copies, are listed in the table above.

Hand out copies of tabs from the IRP to responsible individuals before the initial inspection planning meeting. Individuals should review and study required actions (see the Personnel section of the Basic Plan for a more detailed description of duties/responsibilities).

CONDUCT OF INITIAL INSPECTION PLANNING MEETING

This meeting should be held as soon after notification as possible, but in no case later than 3 hours after notification. It is better to hold the meeting and determine the current state of affairs and availability of personnel and facilities than postpone starting in the hope of resolving all issues ahead of time. Ensure tenant activities are invited to attend and included in preparation process.

B-18 CWC Challenge IRP

10.	Confirm that time-sensitive functions and activities are underway			
	Task an individual to ensure that all participants having time-sensitive functions are adequately supported to fulfill their duties. This includes self-monitoring, Inspection Ops Center setup, base preparations and their supporting activities (communications, supply, administration, physical security, transportation). (See checklist items below.)			
11.	Determine from the facility Self-Monitoring Coordinator the status of self-monitoring preparations	🗖		
	Self-monitoring operations must commence 12 hours after the International Inspection Team (IIT) arrives at the POE (Tab F, Self-Monitoring).			
12.	Determine from the Base Prep Coordinator the status of executing Tab D, Base Preparation	🗆		
	Begin immediately identifying and listing (dBase format, if possible) building and area coordinators and points of contact who will be instrumental in the preparation/inspection process. Ensure that all commands and tenants are included (Tab D, Base Preparations).			
13.	Review Appendix B1, Inspection Negotiations	🗆		
	Direct the Self-Monitoring Coordinator to brief the requested perimeter to all IPS members in the Inspection Ops Center. Evaluate the requested perimeter and provide feedback to the Naval Treaty Implementation Program regarding concerns. It is important in the review of Appendix B1 that close attention is paid to the areas adjacent to either side of the requested perimeter. Private landowners on the facility within the perimeter must be identified as early in the negotiations as possible. Refer all questions to Naval Treaty Implementation Program for resolution/clarification.			
14.	Coordinate Naval Treaty Implementation Program requests	🗆		
	Throughout the inspection, but particularly early in the process, there will be a tremendous need for the exchange of facility information, diagrams, etc. It is recommended that an individual be assigned to assist in verifying maps and diagrams. Accurate maps and diagrams of the facility are crucial to the perimeter negotiations that will occur before the inspection team's arrival at the facility.			

B-20 CWC Challenge IRP

		Challenge Inspection Of	ficer
15.	Direct Safety	Officer to assess safety hazards	🗆
	Provide an asse to be curtailed related concerns be needed. Rela	hould review ongoing or scheduled operations from a safety perspective. ssment of safety hazards and related hazardous operations that may need or avoided during the inspection (Tab J, Safety). Ensure that all safetys are identified and determine what special safety-related equipment will ay special safety information to Naval Treaty Implementation Program and Tab L, Supply, Transportation and Administration).	
16.	Assess the ir	npact of the inspection on operations	🗆
		ions Officers (airfield, harbor, test, or firing ranges, etc.) to assess impact a operations (to include personnel issues such as personnel augmentation and safety.	
	NOTE:	Activities with air stations should consider limiting incoming <i>transient</i> aircraft to official business only after the notification of a challenge inspection. Review planned operations and Notice to Airmen (NOTAM) procedures. Likewise, activities with pier facilities/harbor operations/anchorages should review local operations area procedures/Notice to Mariners as appropriate. All these areas are subject to self-monitoring.	
17.	Determine fa	cility sensitivities	🗆
		h facility Physical Security Coordinator to assess facility physical and nerabilities to a CWC inspection (Tab G, Physical Security).	
	areas, buildings	C Officer to support the Base Prep Coordinator by determining sensitive s, and sections within buildings that will need special protection during ge inspection (Tab H, Operations Security).	

CWC Challenge IRP B-21

18. Request the PAO develop a public affairs plan \Box

(Tab M, Public Affairs)

B-22 CWC Challenge IRP

PREPARATION ACTIVITIES 19. Prepare for arrival of inspection participants \Box Coordinate with Naval Treaty Implementation Program to ascertain the number of inspectors, Tiger Team members, other USG officials traveling to the facility, and their expected arrival times. The facility must plan for meals, negotiation and work rooms, and other logistical needs (Tab L, Supply, Transportation and Administration). Inform facility personnel in the welcoming party that their demeanor NOTE: will set the tone for the inspection and that they should assume a professional and cordial manner toward the IIT. 20. Obtain copies of a detailed facility map...... Assigned individual should coordinate with Naval Treaty Implementation Program Treaty Information Management System (TIMS) expert to obtain maps that are as detailed as possible. Include any local area maps. These maps will be reviewed by the Tiger Team for further use. Refer to the timeline to establish your position with respect to treaty-required actions such as self-monitoring, arrival of IIT, POE negotiations, etc. 22. Coordinate Tiger Team planning meeting Schedule the time, location, and the list of personnel who should attend. The Tiger Team planning meeting should coincide with the arrival of the Tiger Team, if possible; otherwise, it should be held by notification plus 8 hours. Place: ____ Time: 23. Develop pre-inspection briefing...... \Box The Tiger Team Leader will provide additional guidance in briefing development (review Appendix B2, Pre-Inspection Briefings). It is critical that appropriate personnel are tasked with preparing this brief as soon as possible.

B-24 CWC Challenge IRP

24.	Ensure facility site diagram is cleared for release to the IIT(review Appendix B2)				
25.	Dete	ermine a geographic reference point (GRP) to recommend to the IIT \Box			
	throu	facility should be prepared to assist the IIT in verifying the location of the perimeter 11th use of IIT location-identifying equipment (portable global positioning 12th) and with reference to local landmarks on maps.			
TIG	ER T	EAM PLANNING MEETING (Steps 26 to 28)			
26.	Mee	t with Tiger Team Leader upon arrival			
	facil	uss overview of inspection process, essential initial preparation efforts and status of ity's preparation steps. Coordinate with the Tiger Team Leader to integrate ection support equipment into facility planning efforts.			
27.	Conduct Tiger Team planning meeting				
	Introduce key IPS personnel to Tiger Team and vice versa. Discuss immediate issues/ problems or any potential delays with meeting treaty-required items. Tiger Team personnel come prepared to contribute their experience and technical expertise where needed.				
	(a)	Determine present position on inspection timeline (Figure A-1) \Box			
	(b)	Tiger Team Leader will:			
		Brief the inspection mandate, if available.			
		• Present the inspection timeline. Ensure all participants understand the timeline and its significance in meeting crucial treaty-required events.			
		Provide status of POE negotiations support/requirements.			

B-26 CWC Challenge IRP

(c)	_	Tiger Team member brief TIMS covering resources brought to support the inspection preparation process			
(d)	Self	f-Monitoring Coordinator reports on the following items:	🗆		
	•	Location of all perimeter exits, and those that are subject to monitoring;			
	•	Positioning of locks and seals on exits that will not be used;			
	•	Coordination with facility security personnel who will conduct self-monitoring; and			
	•	Status of self-monitoring preparations, including training and equipment checkouts.			
(e)	CIO	reports on the following items:	🗆		
	•	IIT arrival schedule/itinerary/transportation/lodging/etc.			
	•	If a facility orientation tour for the IIT and USG Escorts is proposed and accepted by the inspection team, begin planning a route and designate a qualified driver and a spokesperson for the tour. Assistance with route planning and narration will be provided by the Tiger Team Leader or his deputy, the DON Treaty Expert, and a Tiger Team Security Specialist.			
	•	Status of pre-inspection briefing: Does the CIO have the mandate?			
(f)	Ins	pection Ops Center Coordinator reports on the following:	🗆		
	•	Facility selected for Inspection Ops Center: Is it adequate to the task as outlined in Tab C of the IRP?			
	•	Installation of communications: Have telephone lines and radio net been installed as required in Tab C? Is the Communications Officer supervising on-scene?			
	•	Other Inspection Ops Center support: Are the administration, supply, transportation, and other appropriate functions adequately supporting setup of Inspection Ops Center?			

B-28 CWC Challenge IRP

	• Facilities selected for IIT work/rest area; USG escort work area; briefing and negotiation areas; base escort holding area. Included in this discussion should be the arrangements made to support the Edgewood Chemical and Biological Forensic Analytical Center (ECBFAC) Sampling and Analysis Team requirements (see Appendix B5).
(g)	Communications Officer should report on the following: \Box
	 Progress of communications planning: Is there a manning schedule for the Inspection Ops Center radio operators? Is there a communications plan in place?
	• Setup of the Inspection Ops Center: Are there any problems with installing telephone lines or setting up a radio net?
(h)	Base Preparation Coordinator reports on the following:
	• Base preparation: Is the list of building and area coordinators being developed? Are site prep assistants being selected? Is Tab D of the IRP being implemented?
	• Are the OPSEC officer, the NCIS representative, and other security personnel responding to the Base Prep Coordinator as required?
(i)	Base Escort Coordinator reports on the following:
	Status of base escort training
	 Are arrangements for receiving the IIT at the airport in place, if required? Have anticipated transportation and communication arrangements been implemented?
(j)	Other IPS members report on their progress as appropriate:
•	Supply Officer □ • Public Works Officer □ Physical Security Officer □ • Medical/Dental Officer □ Safety Officer □ • Public Affairs Officer □ Legal Officer □ • Administration Officer □ Transportation Officer □ • Tenant Activities □

B-30 CWC Challenge IRP

					Challenge	Inspection Officer	
28.	Coordina	ate Phase 1-2	Transition Me	eting			
	Time	e:	Pla	ace:			
				sition meeting: So on Ops Center Co	_	coordinator,	
29.	Preparati	ion of threat b	riefing				
	origin of the	•	inspectors. Lin	at briefing that sh nit attendance to		•	
30.	Draft the	Draft the Public Affairs memo					
		e with the PAO g inspection.) in drafting a	memo notifying	; facility person	anel of the	
31.	Developr	ment of alterna	ative means u	sing managed	l access proc	edures	
		Alternative means for demonstrating compliance should be devised for areas deemed restricted for safety or security reasons (Appendix B1, Inspection Negotiations).					
		Ph	ase 2 — Init	tial Preparati	ion		

Phase 2 is the time period from the start of self-monitoring (which is NLT 12 hours after the IIT arrives at the POE) to the arrival of the IIT at the facility (which must occur by 36 hours after arrival of the IIT at the POE).

PHASE 4

PHASE 5

PHASE 6

PHASE 3

PHASE 1

PHASE 2

B-32 CWC Challenge IRP

PHASE 1-2 TRANSITION MEETING (Steps 32 through 35)

To be held in the CWC Inspection Ops Center no later than IIT arrival at POE plus 10 hours. *Self-monitoring must begin within 2 hours.*

32.	Attend Phase 1-2 transition meeting	⊏
	Report on the following items: (1) plans for self-monitoring, which must begin NLT 12 hours after IIT arrives at POE; and (2) plans for IIT and USG Escort Team arrival.	
33.	Assess the inspection timeline	⊏
	Coordinate and update the inspection timeline during the Phase 1-2 transition meeting.	
34.	Review status of pre-inspection briefing	⊏
	Raise any concerns or issues about content, format, briefers, etc.	
35.	Coordinate facility pre-arrival meeting	⊏
	Time: Place:	
	Time: Place: All IPS assigned personnel should attend the facility pre-arrival meeting.	
36.		⊏
36.	All IPS assigned personnel should attend the facility pre-arrival meeting.	🗀
36. 37.	All IPS assigned personnel should attend the facility pre-arrival meeting. Receive NCIS threat briefing Receive the threat briefing conducted by the NCIS noting the inspectors' country of origin. Briefing should be limited to the CO/CIO, Tiger Team Leader, and Security	⊏

B-34 CWC Challenge IRP

38.	Conduct inspection impact assessments	J
	Make recommendations to the CO regarding all aspects of inspection impact on the command including recommendations on requirements for personnel augmentation or overtime, and the potential need to suspend certain facility activities or operations.	
39.	Determine facility sampling backup requirements	コ
	The International Inspectors have the right to take wipes and water, air, soil, or effluent samples at the perimeter. For its own analysis, the facility should take duplicate, backup samples of whatever the IIT takes (Appendix B5, Sampling).	
40.	Ensure training schedules for base escorts and base preparation	⊐
	Verify with respective coordinators that team training schedules are established and have been promulgated (Tab D, Base Preparations and Tab E, Base Escorts).	
41.	Review IIT arrival sequence of events	⊐
	Include expected perimeter activities and have appropriate IPS personnel present (Supply, Transportation, Public Affairs, Inspection Ops Center, etc.).	
42.	Coordinate contracting issues	⊐
	As directed by the CO, meet with Finance and Contracts Officer to discuss any CWC challenge inspection related contracting issues.	
43.	Prepare for the turnover of self-monitoring to the IIT (exit monitoring)	コ
44.	Logging requirements	コ
	Ensure Inspection Ops Center Coordinator and his communicator are prepared to track and log which escorts and inspectors are in each of the subgroups. Verify that subgroup call signs have been established and are displayed on a wall chart.	

B-36 CWC Challenge IRP

FAC	CILITY PRE-ARRIVAL MEETING (Steps 45 through 52)
45.	Attend facility pre-arrival meeting
	This is the last status meeting before the arrival of the IIT at the facility. The following personnel should provide reports on the status of their activities:
	 CIO
46.	Review self-monitoring transition planning
	Verify that support for the perimeter activities plan is in place. Check to ensure the issue of access to buildings on the perimeter has been addressed if it applies to your situation. Finally, is there a need to provide for special transportation (4WD, boat, helicopter, etc.) on perimeter?
47.	Review facility sampling policy
	The treaty explicitly grants the IIT the right to take air, soil, and effluent samples within a 50-meter band outside the alternative/final perimeter using prescribed equipment to document such facts that are related to possible CWC compliance/noncompliance. Additionally, the treaty specifically allows the IIT the right to <i>request</i> samples inside the perimeter (Appendix B5, Sampling).
48.	Confirm that area and building POCs for all buildings and areas have been identified and that training is in progress

CWC Challenge IRP B-37

B-38 CWC Challenge IRP

49.	Confirm that escorts have been identified and trained, particularly for perimeter activities	🗆
50.	Review logistics support plan for the IIT	🗆
	Confirm that arrangements are complete and that personnel have been assigned to meet/greet/and escort the IIT and USG escorts at the arrival point.	
51.	Review pre-inspection briefings	🗆
	Include the Tiger Team in the review process. Check that no inappropriate information is presented.	
52.	Arrange for USG strategy meeting	🗆
	This meeting should take place following the IIT pre-inspection briefing and include the Tiger Team Leader, appropriate facility representatives, and USG Host Team.	
53.	Support planning for IIT perimeter activities	🗆
	Assist with verification of geographic reference point (GRP). Ensure base escorts and transportation are prepared to escort the IIT to the GRP for verification of location and to the perimeter for perimeter activities.	
	Support the USG Host Team during perimeter/inspection plan negotiations and perimeter activities.	
	Ensure that preparations for escorts and transportation of the IIT during perimeter activities is ready for implementation.	
54.	Review Appendixes B3 and B4	🗆
	Make preparations to handle requests for information and request to conduct personnel interviews. Ensure the Security Officer gets a copy of Appendix B4 and is ready to support any IIT requests for personnel interviews.	

CWC Challenge IRP B-39

B-40 CWC Challenge IRP

Phase 3 — Perimeter Negotiation/Final Preparations

PHASE 1	PHASE 2	PHASE 3	PHASE 4	PHASE 5	PHASE 6

Phase 3 is the time period from IIT arrival at the base to the crossing of the final perimeter by the IIT. Crossing of the perimeter can be as much as 72 hours after the IIT arrives at the facility.

55.	A quick, informal meeting with the USG Escort Team Leader, Tiger Team Leader, and facility CO should be held before the pre-inspection briefing	. 🗆
	This short meeting should provide an opportunity for the USG Escort Team Leader to pass any information to the facility prior to the start of the pre-inspection briefings and vice versa.	
56.	Participate in pre-inspection briefing upon arrival of IIT	. 🗆
	The CO's welcome briefing is the official welcome (roughly 5 minutes in length) to the IIT, their USG escorts, and the USG Host Team. This also provides an opportunity to introduce other members of the IPS who will present portions of the briefing and will have contact on a routine basis with the inspection team (i.e., Safety Officer, Escort Coordinator, etc.).	
	If the IIT has traveled straight from the POE to the facility, an offer to allow them to stop at their quarters before delivery of the remainder of the pre-inspection briefing should be extended.	
	The atmosphere during the briefing should be professional and cordial. A concerted effort should be made to ensure interaction with the IIT is non-confrontational.	
57.	Update site diagrams as required	. 🗆
	Have the Base Preparation Coordinator and the Self-Monitoring Coordinator review site diagrams showing the current inspection boundary. Brief IPS on the inspection boundary.	

CWC Challenge IRP B-41

Provide the most recent version that has been cleared for release to IIT.

B-42 CWC Challenge IRP

58.	Ensure transition of self-monitoring functions to IIT, or termination, as appropriate	. 🗆
59.	Host a USG strategy meeting immediately following the pre-inspection briefing with Base Escort Coordinator/National Authority representative/ USG Escort Team Leader and Tiger Team representatives	. 🗆
	The purpose of this meeting is to establish ground rules as to how the inspection plan will be implemented in the field. It is important that all members of the Escort Team understand their role and limits of authority during the course of the inspection.	
60.	Ensure that base preparations are fully underway	. 🗆
	The preparation efforts should reflect agreements made in the inspection plan negotiations.	
61.	Support IIT perimeter activities	. 🗆
62.	Support inspection plan negotiations	. 🗆
	Inspection plan negotiations will occur on site and could involve the following parties: Host Team representative, CO or his designated representative, USG Escort Team Leader, IIT Leader, Tiger Team Leader, and DON Treaty Expert. If it is agreed to beforehand and room permitting, it would be beneficial to the facility preparation efforts to have a base prep representative sit in on the negotiation sessions.	
63.	Brief IPS after each negotiation session	. 🗆
	Personnel manning the Inspection Ops Center must be kept informed of all developments resulting from the negotiations. It is critical that some satisfactory method (i.e., phone, note-taker) be established before the start of negotiations and utilized throughout the process. Confer with the Tiger Team Leader and National Authority or USG representative (if one is present) for further guidance.	

CWC Challenge IRP B-43

B-44 CWC Challenge IRP

					Challenge	Inspection Officer
64.	Conduct	the post-nego	otiation facility	/ meeting		
	proposed s		w arrangements	ations, results of for the facility		
65.	Brief IPS	on final perin	neter			
				ties, brief the Ba perimeter and the		
		Pha	se 4 — Insp	ection Activ	ities	
Р	HASE 1	PHASE 2	PHASE 3	PHASE 4	PHASE 5	PHASE 6
		y the IIT. Phase 4 TE: Do not, u	4 can last up to 8	<i>istance</i> , allow th		
66.	Track ins	spection subg	roup moveme	nts		
	reflect the			sign-out boards, a m subgroups and		
	follow the	progress of the i	nspection. Be re	Il radio calls, and ady to respond a requests that com	nd remain alert f	or the need
67.				vho are involv		

CWC Challenge IRP B-45

B-46 CWC Challenge IRP

					Challenge	Inspection Officer		
68.	Monitor I	base preparati	ons					
		Preparation Coord luding ongoing o			0 1			
69.	Support	daily inspection	on progress re	view				
	Solicit input from appropriate departments.							
70.	Assist th	e CO in keepir	ng chain of co	mmand inforr	med			
		Phase	5 — Post-In	spection Ac	tivities			
Р	HASE 1	PHASE 2	PHASE 3	PHASE 4	PHASE 5	PHASE 6		
Phas	e 5 is the 24	4-hour period fol	lowing the conc	lusion of IIT insp	pection.			
71.	Coordina	ate facility afte	r-action repor	t				
72.	Provide I	IIT administrat	ive support					
73 .		command sup nat surface dur						
74.	View the	report of IIT p	reliminary find	dings				
75.	Provide I	logistics supp	ort					
	As needed	l, provide transpo	ortation for the II	T to the airport.				

CWC Challenge IRP B-47

B-48 CWC Challenge IRP

Phase 6 — Post-Inspection Recovery

PHASE 1	PHASE 2	PHASE 3	PHASE 4	PHASE 5	PHASE 6
Phase 6 commer cleanup, continu					ion recovery and ons.
76. Conduct	after-action re	eviews			

CWC Challenge IRP B-49

B-50 CWC Challenge IRP

Appendix B1

INSPECTION NEGOTIATIONS

Appendix B1 provides guidance to the facility Commanding Officer (CO), Challenge Inspection Officer (CIO), and Operations Security (OPSEC) Officer, concerning the conduct of an initial vulnerability assessment, the development of the alternative perimeter, the perimeter negotiation process, and the inspection plan negotiation process.

RESPONSIBILITIES

The CO, assisted by the CIO and Tiger Team Leader, and in coordination with Naval Treaty Implementation Program and the United States Government (USG) Host Team, is responsible for the facility negotiating positions.

BACKGROUND

Three key components of a challenge inspection are negotiable: the inspection perimeter; the inspection plan; and questions of access to certain facilities or areas that arise during the inspection.

The following section provides information concerning the negotiations between the International Inspection Team (IIT) and the USG Host Team. A list of general questions (Table B-1) is provided to assist the facility in conducting its initial vulnerability assessment.

SCOPE

Facility Vulnerability Assessment Questions

While preparing for the arrival of the IIT, USG officials, including a Naval Treaty Implementation Program representative on behalf of the inspected facility, will be meeting with the IIT at the U.S. point of entry (POE), at Dulles Airport, negotiating the areas the IIT will inspect. The IIT presents a "requested" perimeter to help describe the area they wish to inspect. The "final" perimeter is negotiated between the inspectors and USG representatives who will take into consideration the inspected facility's concerns. It is extremely important to identify any unique sensitivities such as proprietary information, operations, or classified programs and technologies at the inspected facility that require special consideration. The Naval Treaty Implementation Program, Treaty Operations Center (TOC), and the Tiger Team are prepared to help answer questions and respond to concerns

CWC Challenge IRP B1-1

regarding this information. In particular, the command and the TOC should discuss any areas where access to the inspectors must be denied. The command's vulnerability assessments should be the driving force behind the development of the final perimeter. Identification of sensitivities should be coordinated with the OPSEC Officer and the local Naval Criminal Investigation Service (NCIS) agent to ensure they are fully apprised as early as possible of the impending inspection.

An initial assessment of the inspected facility can be completed by answering the following questions contained in Table B1-1. These questions are provided only as a guide and to assist the facility in its efforts to understand where resources need to be focused in preparing for the challenge inspection.

Table B1-1 Facility Assessment Considerations

	,	
•	What has to be protected?	
•	Where is access difficult?	
•	Does the requested perimeter cut through buildings or security enclosures?	
•	Are there any ships in port or anticipated, and are there any sailings?	
•	Are there aircraft present, and anticipated arrivals or departures, or operational schedules?	
•	Do you have ongoing exercises or evolutions?	
•	Are there any emergency situations?	
•	Are there ongoing industrial or R&D procedures or processes?	
•	Do you have any foreign visitors present or anticipated?	
•	Are any of your key personnel absent?	
•	Are there living quarters available for the International Inspection Team and USG escorts close to your facility?	
•	Do you have any information to provide to the Naval Treaty Implementation Program, TOC, on the history of chemical weapons activity since 1945 conducted at your facility, including production, R&D, and storage?	
•	Do you have previous or current CW-capable weapons systems?	
•	Are or were munitions stored at your facility?	
•	Do you have anything that is likely to give a CW-type signature? Such as: Industrial waste from chemical weapons or an industrial waste site of any kind Activities using any industrial chemicals Solid waste management units or hazardous material/waste storage areas.	
•	Are there private properties, Indian lands, or endangered species areas on or near your facility that would impede access to any areas of your facility?	
•	Are there areas of the facility's perimeter that are difficult to access: surrounded by water, difficult terrain, long distances?	

B1-2 CWC Challenge IRP

Inspection Perimeter

The inspection perimeter defines the area within which inspectors want to inspect, and the border outside which inspectors are allowed to conduct monitoring activities. Thus, the placement of the perimeter governs where intrusive inspection and sampling measures can take place, and has a critical impact on possible classified/sensitive facility information loss during the inspection.

The perimeter requested by the IIT must:

- Run a reasonable distance outside any structure on the site
- Not cut through any existing security enclosures
- Run a reasonable distance outside any existing security enclosures.

Upon arrival at the POE, the IIT will request the perimeter within which they want to inspect. They will present this "requested perimeter" to the USG negotiators, who will pass it to the naval facility in question for examination. It is at this point in the process that the inspected facility concerns must be made known, to include: "fidelity of the line" issues; private landowners within the confines of the requested perimeter; areas of sensitivity and any other perimeter-related issues that the CO feels are relevant. The USG negotiators, in forming a negotiating position based on recommendations provided by the facility CO to the Naval Treaty Implementation Program, have the right to present an "alternative perimeter," which, according to the Chemical Weapons Convention (CWC), can only be larger than the requested perimeter. However, the Navy and the USG negotiators may find it advantageous to present a larger "alternative perimeter" because the boundaries may be more convenient to define, to monitor, to guard, or to traverse, than the requested perimeter. The USG negotiators and the IIT will negotiate the mutual acceptance of a "final" perimeter at the POE. If the inspection perimeter cannot be agreed upon during negotiations at the POE, perimeter negotiations will continue at the site.

The USG must present its alternative perimeter to the IIT no later than 24 hours after the arrival of the IIT at the POE.

For a variety of reasons, including the degree of accuracy of the map used by the inspection team, age of the maps, etc., the requested perimeter may not satisfy all of these conditions. The Naval Treaty Implementation Program uses a computerized system to superimpose the requested perimeter diagram on detailed DON site diagrams in order to facilitate the development of an alternative perimeter (Appendix C3, Treaty Information Management System [TIMS]).

CWC Challenge IRP B1-3

The USG-proposed alternative perimeter must contain the entire requested perimeter and should combine two of the following conditions:

- Not extend the enclosed area significantly
- Run a short uniform distance from the requested perimeter
- Allow viewing of at least part of the requested perimeter.

The USG may therefore change the location of sampling and exit monitoring activities, but may not exclude a building from inspection by proposing an inward shift of the perimeter. The alternative perimeter should also, as much as possible:

- Run at least 10 meters outside any buildings or other structures
- Not cut through existing security enclosures
- Run at least 10 meters outside any existing security enclosures that the requesting State Party intends to include within the requested perimeter.

The alternative perimeter should also, as a rule, bear a close relationship to the requested perimeter, and take into account natural terrain features and manmade boundaries. It should normally run close to the surrounding security barrier if such a barrier exists.

Several factors should be considered when selecting an alternative perimeter. The primary goals of proposing an alternative perimeter are to facilitate the inspection and/or to protect classified information. The alternative perimeter should be selected so that it does not unreasonably burden either the site or the IIT. When the IIT-requested perimeter has been roughly drawn, an alternative perimeter could be constructed to conform with existing roads, fence lines, waterways, and walkways to protect facility equities and facilitate the conduct of inspection operations.

If, to protect sensitive or proprietary information, it is necessary to restrict visual observation by inspectors of certain structures within the facility during inspection operations at the perimeter, an alternative perimeter a greater distance from the structures than the requested perimeter can be proposed. It should be noted that, to the extent that a perimeter is moved "away" from certain structures, the geographic size of the area within the perimeter and area subject to inspection operations as a whole will increase. Because the CWC Treaty does not permit the exclusion of buildings within the requested perimeter from the alternative perimeter, the U.S. intends to exercise the right of "managed access" to the most sensitive buildings or structures.

Finally, if it is intended that an alternative perimeter encompass areas outside a facility's property, the CO should give full consideration to the ownership of adjacent property by others.

If agreement on an inspection perimeter is not reached while the IIT is at the POE, negotiations will continue when the IIT arrives at the facility. IIT arrival at the facility (i.e., alternative perimeter) signals the start of Phase 3. Refinements to the USG position may be made during this period on the basis of consultations between the USG Host Team and the facility CO. If agreement is not reached

B1-4 CWC Challenge IRP

between the IIT and the USG Host Team by the end of Phase 3, the alternative perimeter becomes the final perimeter. To facilitate the perimeter negotiation process, the requested and alternative perimeter should be displayed in the CWC Inspection Ops center as they evolve.

Extent of Access

The CWC, in general, provides inspectors the right of access to all buildings and locations within the final inspection perimeter. Once the final inspection perimeter has been agreed, negotiations focus on the IIT's inspection plans. To protect sensitive, classified, and proprietary information while satisfying compliance concerns of the IIT, the USG will use managed access provisions for buildings and areas included in the inspection plan.

The CWC specifies that the IIT will conduct the inspection *in the least intrusive manner possible*. Wherever possible, it shall begin with the least intrusive procedures it deems acceptable and proceed to more intrusive procedures only as it deems necessary.

Managed access is in fact used throughout the inspection process. Through the use of escorts, timing, point control, shrouding, etc., the facility is always "managing the access" of the inspection team. The treaty outlines some specific types of managed access such as

- Removing sensitive papers from view;
- Shrouding sensitive displays, stores, equipment, computers, or electronic systems;
- Logging off of computer systems and turning off data indicating devices;
- Securing certain facility operations;
- Releasing selected personnel to liberty status;
- Random selective access: involves requesting that the IIT select a percentage or number
 of buildings and/or rooms within buildings from which it will randomly select areas for
 inspection.; and
- Exceptional access: involves selecting specific individuals or subgroups of the IIT and granting only these individuals access to certain inspection areas.¹

CWC Challenge IRP B1-5

¹ Neither random selective access nor exceptional access should be primary methods of managed access.

In addition, the treaty allows the IIT to request aerial access. It may not be in the best interests of the Navy to accede to the IIT request for an overflight, since overflight may generate more questions than it answers and may make the preparation process more difficult.

If the USG chooses to provide less than full access to places, activities, or information, it is under the obligation to make every reasonable effort to provide alternative means to alleviate the possible compliance concern. Alternative means involves the use of evidence that will satisfy the IIT concern without providing full disclosure of the item in question. Such evidence could include photographs, blueprints, viewing a building or room through windows, an entrance, or by remote means, or the partial lifting of a shroud to refute a specific allegation.

Inspection Plan

The inspection plan governs the elements of intrusiveness of the inspection within the final perimeter. After the pre-inspection briefing, the IIT will prepare an initial inspection plan that specifies the activities to be carried out by the IIT, including the specific areas of the site to which access is desired. This plan may also include the sequence in which the areas will be visited and the plan for requested sampling, interviews, and record reviews. The CWC agreement is unclear over exactly when the initial inspection plan is given to the inspected State Party: the inspected State Party should prompt the IIT for a plan if it is not forthcoming.

The IIT is obliged to take into consideration suggested modifications to the inspection plan made by the USG Host Team, at any stage of the inspection, including during the pre-inspection briefing, to ensure that sensitive equipment, information or areas not related to chemical weapons, are protected.

The development of the inspection plan thus amounts to negotiating parameters concerning conduct of the inspection. The negotiations between the IIT and the USG Host Team can include:

- Extent of access to any particular place or places within the final perimeter
- Sequence of access to those places
- Particular inspection activities, including sampling, to be conducted by the IIT
- Performance of particular activities by the USG Escort Team and facility personnel involved in the inspection
- Provision of particular information by the facility.

B1-6 CWC Challenge IRP

Appendix B2

PRE-INSPECTION BRIEFINGS

Appendix B2 provides guidance on the preparation of the treaty-required pre-inspection briefing. Upon arrival, the Tiger Team Leader can provide further guidance in this area.

RESPONSIBILITIES

The Challenge Inspection Officer (CIO) is responsible for the planning, coordination, and presentation of pre-inspection briefings.

BACKGROUND

The CIO should direct the formulation and delivery of the pre-inspection briefing and post-negotiation briefing/conference. Required briefings will be given to both the United States Government (USG) representatives and to the International Inspection Team (IIT). The atmosphere during the briefing should be professional and cordial. A concerted effort should be made to ensure the interaction with the IIT is non-confrontational. The briefing will be delivered upon arrival of the IIT at the facility. This pre-inspection briefing is required by the Chemical Weapons Convention (CWC) Treaty and *must last no more than 3 hours*. It should include a map, and other documentation as appropriate, that illustrates all structures and significant geographic features. The briefing should include the following:

- Physical layout of the facility;
- Clear presentation of the requested, alternative or final perimeters, as appropriate;
- Description of basic activities carried out at the facility;
- Safety measures required on the facility; and
- Administrative and logistic arrangements necessary for the inspection.

CWC Challenge IRP B2-1

The briefing *may* also indicate the equipment, documentation, or areas the USG considers sensitive or not related to the mandate of the challenge inspection. Since the IIT will not have been granted access to the facility at this time, this briefing must be held outside the perimeter. This facility may also serve as the conference room for perimeter/inspection plan negotiations.

At the conclusion of the pre-inspection briefing, the CIO should be prepared to meet with the USG Host Team and escorts to discuss issues affecting the USG perimeter/inspection plan negotiation position.

Preparation for the briefings must be a high priority during Phases 1 and 2. The IIT is permitted to conduct perimeter activities upon arrival at the alternative perimeter. While it is strongly recommended that the IIT receive the pre-inspection briefing before conducting perimeter activities, the IIT has the right to initiate exit monitoring before this briefing.

Pre-Inspection Briefings

The pre-inspection briefing should include the following topics (listed in recommended order with recommended time allocations for each briefing):

- Official Welcome. 5 minutes. Welcoming remarks made by the Commanding Officer (CO) to the IIT and their USG escorts, and the USG Host Team. Provides opportunity for introductions between base escort personnel, the IIT, USG escorts, and Host Team members. If the IIT has traveled straight from the point of entry (POE) to the facility, an offer to allow them to stop at their quarters before delivery of the remainder of the pre-inspection briefing should be extended.
- Facility Status Overview. 20 minutes. Presentation given by the CIO concerning the physical layout of the facility area, general activities at the facility, and factors with potential impact on the inspection (i.e., for safety reasons, the IIT cannot enter area or Building XX until YY testing/movement/process is completed). The briefing should include the status of facility self-monitoring activities. The facility should be prepared to discuss the availability of facility personnel and records, if requested by the IIT. This briefing should be coordinated with the Security Department to minimize the sensitive information content. The site map and other required facility information will be provided to the USG Team Leader for distribution as appropriate. This briefing is treaty required.

B2-2 CWC Challenge IRP

- Safety Briefing. 25 minutes. Presentation of basic safety procedures that must be followed during the inspection, with brief instructions for actions to be taken in the event of an emergency. Should focus on procedures required during activities within 50 meters of the site perimeter. This briefing is treaty required.
- Administrative and Logistics Briefing. 15 minutes. Presentation of the billeting, food, transportation, work area and other logistical arrangements for the IIT. This portion of the briefing may include a discussion of any security and badging/ID requirements, if appropriate. This briefing is treaty required.
- Question and Answer Period. Available time remaining in the pre-inspection briefing time period, hosted by the CIO and coordinated with the USG Host Team and Escort Team.

The CO and the CWC Inspection Planning Staff (IPS) should be prepared to conduct a *USG-only* meeting at the conclusion of the pre-inspection briefing with the USG Host Team and USG escorts in order to discuss the following information:

- *Introductions*. Introduce the members of the IPS.
- Facility Status. Current facility status and sensitivities that should be considered by the USG officials during negotiation of the perimeter and inspection plans, and during the conduct of the inspection.
- *Perimeter Activities*. Additional detail concerning the facility's handling of perimeter activities and self-monitoring beyond that delivered during the pre-inspection briefing.
- Sampling. Presentation of backup facility perimeter sampling plan, if appropriate.
- Access Procedures. Specific procedures for gaining access to buildings during the inspection.
- Support. Description of any special support the facility can provide.

CWC Challenge IRP B2-3

BRIEFING OUTLINES

PRE-INSPECTION BRIEFINGS

Official Welcome

- Introductions
- Briefing and rest schedule
- Compliance and safety goals

Facility Status Overview

- Facility layout and site map
- Facility activities
 - Present
 - > Historical
- Activities impacting inspection
- Requested and alternative perimeters (and final if appropriate)
- Self-monitoring status and transition plan
- Information on facility personnel and record availability, if appropriate

Safety Briefings

- Facility Safety Officials
 - ➤ Point of contact in Safety Office
 - > Fire/Emergency response resources
- Perimeter safety procedures
- General facility safety procedures
- Specific explosive or hazardous operations procedures or regulations
- Safety equipment to be provided (based on the inspection plan)
- Stay with the escorts

B2-4 CWC Challenge IRP

- Site unique safety considerations; i.e.,
 - > Rattlesnakes, earthquakes, ticks
 - > Ordnance impact area
 - ➤ Hazardous waste landfill(s)
- Do not touch any equipment or materials.
- Allow escorts to lead the way.
- Stay with the escorts.

Administrative and Logistics Briefing

- Briefing and work rooms
- Restrooms
- Billeting
- Transportation
- Meals
- Special IIT requirements
- Work schedule
- Any other procedures with which the IIT must comply.

DETAILED BRIEFING TEMPLATE

The Tiger Team will provide this template in Power Point format upon arrival. Template can also be transmitted in electronic format from SP 2025.

NOTE: A proposed template of the pre-inspection briefing follows:

COMMAND PRE-INSPECTION BRIEFING — Presented by CO/XO/CIO

Welcome Aboard

- Introductory remarks
- Key players
- Briefing agenda

CWC Challenge IRP B2-5

Key Players — **Host Team**

- Site Commander, XO, any distinguished guests
- IIT personnel
- USG escorts
- USG Host Team members
- Host Team POC/interlocutory

Briefing Agenda

- Facility status overview
- Safety and security
- Administrative and logistics
- Question and answer period

Facility Status Overview

- Mission statement
- Command history
- Command overview
- Physical layout
- Impact factors on inspection timeline
- Status of self-monitoring
- Site map and facility information

Mission Statement

Command History

- Chemical weapons (CW) specific
 - ➤ Past and present CW activities
 - ➤ Who, what, where, and when?
- Other ordnance programs

B2-6 CWC Challenge IRP

Command Overview

- Major departments
- Tenant activities
- Significant programs/projects
- Workforce structure
 - Contractors
 - ➤ Unions

Site Map

Physical Layout

- Identify major areas of interest
 - > Piers and wharfs
 - ➤ Railroads
 - > Heliports or airstrips
 - ➤ Medical facilities
 - CW related areas
- Note adjacent (perimeter) property over which site has no jurisdiction
- Any "process flow" that is applicable (use a block diagram)

Vehicle Exit Activity Status

- Status (may be provided at POE negotiations)
 - > Start time
 - Vehicle exit activity locations
 - Provide rationale as necessary
 - Methods employed

CWC Challenge IRP B2-7

- Recommendations for transition to exit monitoring (may be discussed at POE negotiations
 - Secure ALL self-monitoring activities upon IIT arrival at first self-monitoring location
 - > Turn over tapes and logs upon review and making duplicates by command
 - Provide these collected to date
 - > Ready to support perimeter activities

Impact Factors

- Ships, planes, trains entering or leaving during the course of the inspection
- Operations that will limit access
- Anticipated weather over following week
- Other significant events that will compete for CO's or XO's time and attention
- Site-unique factors that will impact activities scheduled
 - Example: Food not available at 10 p.m., vehicle limitations, occupancy limits
- Response to mandate
- Overflight request
- Building preparation limitations
 - ➤ 6-hour planning factor
 - > Includes operational and logistics considerations
 - > Revising a building may require re-prep
- Site diagram issues

Safety and Security Briefing

- Basic safety requirements
 - > Issues related to perimeter activities within the 50-meter band
 - Any safety equipment by site/to be provided by site (leg stats, hardhats)
 - Any site requirements for additional screening (e.g., spirograph)
 - > Any environmental hazards
 - Response to emergency situations

B2-8 CWC Challenge IRP

- Security requirements
 - ➤ Any required badging
 - > Security areas of concern to inspectors
 - ➤ Any buildings with sign-in/sign-out requirements
 - ➤ Guard force posture
 - Do they carry weapons
 - ➤ Any heightened security posture in place or expected
 - ➤ Any local threat to site/inspectors

Administrative Matters

- Working hours
- Work and storage spaces and keys (include restroom locations)
- Briefing/negotiating spaces
- Communications support for team
- Transportation arrangements
- Meal arrangements
- Proposal for daily meetings
- Requesting State Party observer arrangements
 - ➤ Work spaces
 - Communications
 - ➤ Access/activities
 - ➤ Participation (through Inspection Team Leader)

Questions and Answer Period

- Invite chief inspector to speak
- Adjourn

CWC Challenge IRP B2-9

B2-10 CWC Challenge IRP

Appendix B3

IIT INFORMATION REQUESTS

Appendix B3 provides guidance on receiving, tracking, and providing information to the International Inspection Team (IIT) in response to their requests for inspection-related information.

RESPONSIBILITIES

The Challenge Inspection Officer (CIO) has primary coordination responsibilities for tracking and providing information to the IIT in response to its requests. The Inspection Planning Staff (IPS) will assist as necessary.

BACKGROUND

A key U.S. objective in handling any Chemical Weapons Convention (CWC) inspection is to obtain a favorable inspection report, which means that the compliance concerns in the challenge mandate were disproved and that there are no outstanding ambiguities. In addition to the obvious need to refute noncompliance allegations, achieving a favorable inspection report precludes the Organization for the Prohibition of Chemical Weapons (OPCW) from justifying a return visit to the facility by an IIT with a potentially even more intrusive mandate.

Before passing any requested documents, completed forms etc., ensure that all contract numbers are removed from the materials.

HANDLING IIT INFORMATION REQUESTS

All information requests from the IIT or the requesting State Party observer will fall into two broad categories:

- Those requests requiring time for research and/or coordination
- Those requests that can be immediately satisfied, if appropriate.

A request for a list of all facility personnel who have traveled to USA Dugway Proving Ground in

CWC Challenge IRP B3-1

the last 5 years is an example of the first type of request. On the other hand, a request by the inspectors to enter a bulk cargo storage yard they have encountered is an example of the second type of request.

As early as possible (even at the point of entry [POE]), the United States Government (USG) team will attempt to elicit the information requirements of the IIT. The IIT should be reminded that the earlier such requests are made, the better the support the United States can provide.

The USG team should keep a ready listing of these requirements and their disposition. Should the information be provided and the IIT asks to see additional items, for example, the United States can use the list to show that it has already complied with the requirements of the IIT and that there is no justification to further IIT activity at the site. In addition, such a checklist, by documenting cooperation, can prove very helpful in case the IIT or the requesting State Party observer later make any unfounded accusations.

- Within the Inspection Ops Center, information requests should be directed to the CIO for review and assignment. Specific actions to issue a positive accounting for requests include:
 - Log the request in.
 - Analyze the request for applicability to the CWC and the mandate.
 - Assign components of the information request to the appropriate agencies.
 - ➤ Collect, collate, and organize the responses.
 - ➤ Have the material reviewed and approved for release by the Commanding Officer.
 - > Provide the material to the USG team.
 - ➤ Log the action out.

Database Requests

- Types of requests may include:
 - Database requests
 - ➤ Individual personnel/health records
 - > Technical reports or supply/financial records.

B3-2 CWC Challenge IRP

All request for these types of information should be routed to the Inspection Ops Center for resolution on a case-by-case basis with the CO. The Tiger Team has significant experience in dealing appropriately with this issue. Under *no* circumstance should classified or sensitive information be released without the appropriate level approval.

CWC Challenge IRP

B3-4 CWC Challenge IRP

Appendix B4

PERSONNEL INTERVIEWS

Appendix B4 discusses preparations for personnel interviews requested by the International Inspection Team (IIT).

RESPONSIBILITIES

The Security Officer/Provost Marshal, in coordination with the local Naval Criminal Investigative Service (NCIS) agent, Operations Security (OPSEC) Officer, United States Government (USG) escorts and Tiger Team, is responsible for the planning, preparation, conduct, and monitoring of personnel interviews requested by the IIT.

BACKGROUND

Under the inspection provisions of the Chemical Weapons Convention (CWC), members of the IIT have the right to interview personnel from the inspected facility. The treaty is unclear on how these interviews are to be conducted, other than to say that the inspected party has the right to have escorts present during such interviews.

In preparing to meet this requirement it is important to remember that the inspectors are conducting the interviews under the provisions of a treaty to which the United States is a full and willing party. Thus, it is important that the interviews be conducted in a cooperative and professional manner that, to the extent possible, assists in alleviating the concerns expressed in the inspection team mandate.

The Inspection Ops Center will serve as the focal point for coordinating and monitoring all personnel interviews. Procedures should be ready for implementation NLT Phase 3 of the inspection.

The Inspection Ops Center will ensure arrangements for interviews are coordinated with the IIT and the USG Escort Team. It will also ensure proper escort representation, monitor the progress of interviews, and react to further requests for information resulting from the interviews.

CWC Challenge IRP B4-1

The objectives of the interview are to:

- Provide the inspector with enough information to convince him or her that the facility is not in violation of any chemical weapons treaties.
- Avoid raising *more* questions in the inspector's mind by the way a question was answered (i.e., too much hesitancy in answering, or seeming to "hide" information, or mentioning something that may lead the inspector to believe that a violation is being hidden).
- Prevent the inspectors from gaining any information that is unnecessary to satisfy their mandate.

B4-2 CWC Challenge IRP

Security Officer/Provost Marshal Personnel Interview Checklist

Phase 1 — Notification/Initial Planning & Response

Р	HASE 1	PHASE 2	PHASE 3	PHASE 4	PHASE 5	PHASE 6	
1.	Read/review guidelines for answering questions at the end of this checklist with Commanding Officer/Challenge Inspection Officer (CO/CIO)						
	The International Inspection Team (IIT) will be requested to identify those desired for interviews with a recommended 24-hour lead time. The requests for interviews may be granted if consistent with privacy regulations and if the individual interviewees grant consent for the interviews.						
		Phase 2	? — Initial Pr	eparation A	ctivities		
Р	HASE 1	PHASE 2	PHASE 3	PHASE 4	PHASE 5	PHASE 6	
2.		ate with CIO, lo perations Sec				` '	
	(a) Locate a suitable site for interview preparation \Box						
	(b) Loca	ate a suitable	site where into	erviews could	be held		
	 (b) Locate a suitable site where interviews could be held						

B4-4 CWC Challenge IRP

	(d) Advise all personnel requested for interviews of their rights and options regarding format of the interview. See guidelines for answering questions at the end of this appendix						
3.	guidelines for answering questions						
		Pn	ase 3 — Per	imeter Nego	ottations/Fina	ат Ргерагацо	nis
P	HASE	1	PHASE 2	PHASE 3	PHASE 4	PHASE 5	PHASE 6
	Phase 3 is the time period from IIT arrival at the base to the crossing of the final perimeter by the IIT. Crossing of the perimeter can be as much as 72 hours after the IIT arrives at the activity. 4. If the IIT identifies interviewees during the point of entry negotiations, begin preparing those individuals						
Р	HASE	1	PHASE 2	PHASE 3	PHASE 4	PHASE 5	PHASE 6
	Phase 4 is the time period from the IIT crossing of the final perimeter to the conclusion of the inspection by the IIT. Phase 4 can last up to 84 hours.						
5.	5. Request that the USG Host Team notify the Inspection Ops Center of any individuals identified for interviews by the IIT as soon as possible						

B4-6 CWC Challenge IRP

					Challenge	Inspection Officer
6.	Coordina	ate a time for s	specific interv	iews to take p	lace	
	Note: Interviews should not be conducted on the spot because of the lack of a controlled environment, interruption to operations, possible safety concerns, and the need to prepare interviewees before an interview.					
7.	Prepare s	selected interv	/iewees			
8.	Tape rec	ording of inter	rviews			
	It is Depar	tment of Defense	e policy that inte	rviews not be vi	deo- or audio-reo	corded.
9.	Questions posed in the interview should be noted and integrated into the preparation of follow-on interviewees					
	Phases 5 and 6 — Post-Inspection Activities & Recovery					
Р	HASE 1	PHASE 2	PHASE 3	PHASE 4	PHASE 5	PHASE 6
	hase 5 is the 24-hour period following the conclusion of IIT inspection. Phase 6 commences upon T departure.					
10.	Review r	esults of the i	nterview			
	Look for potential security loss and for information potentially impacting on the IIT assessment.					

CWC Challenge IRP B4-7

11. Prepare a summary of questions asked, answers provided, and an assessment of security losses for the CO \Box

B4-8 CWC Challenge IRP

GUIDELINES FOR ANSWERING QUESTIONS

- Answer questions relevant to the inspectors' mandate or purpose of the inspection.
- Do not give answers that are classified. If an answer is classified, consult with appropriate member(s) of the Host Team before responding.
- If you do not know the answer, say you don't know. Don't guess or speculate.
- Do not answer hypothetical questions.
- Answers should be as specific and brief as possible.
- Do not volunteer unrequested information.
- Do not mention other personnel or activities involved in or with knowledge of a place or activity. Do not discuss activities, facilities, or personnel outside the defined site/facility/activity being inspected.
- Do not guess or speculate on what theoretically could be accomplished with the personnel, resources, and/or equipment available at your facility.
- Try to avoid appearing hesitant or evasive. If you cannot answer a question, be straightforward with the reason. Evasive behavior is counterproductive to the process as it is likely to be used by the inspectors to justify unwarranted concerns.

Examples:

Logical interview questions may concern your background training, daily activities, job responsibilities, any on the job accidents you may have been involved in.

Q: "What type of munitions are stored where you work?"

A: State type of munitions specific to location asked for.

Q: "Can you configure an F-14 to carry chemical munitions?"

A: (Don't speculate!) "That is not my area of expertise, I wouldn't really know."

Q: "What qualifications do you have to work in the munitions storage building?"

A: "I am qualified in XXXXX."

B4-10 CWC Challenge IRP

Q: "What qualifications do others have?"

A: "I don't know; each person may be different and you'd have to ask them."

Q: "Have you ever worked with chemical munitions?"

A: "No." or "Once in 1969, but I am no longer qualified."

B4-12 CWC Challenge IRP

Appendix B5

SAMPLING

Appendix B5 provides an explanation of the International Inspection Team's (IIT) treaty rights to take samples, procedures for the facility to follow, and guidance to ensure that the facility's sensitive activities are protected from a sampling request during a Chemical Weapons Convention (CWC) challenge inspection.

RESPONSIBILITIES

The Challenge Inspection Officer (CIO) is responsible for coordination of all sampling requests.

BACKGROUND

Based on DoD planning guidance, the conduct of sampling and analysis will be accomplished by deploying personnel from the Edgewood Chemical and Biological Forensic Analytical Center (ECBFAC) to be available to assist the facility throughout the Challenge Inspection. ECBFAC Treaty Laboratory's Sampling & Analysis (S&A) Team, equipped with a suite of transportable sampling and analysis instrumentation, will include the capability to collect samples, prepare samples, package samples for potential transport, and/or the analysis of samples in support of verification measures. Technical experts will also be made available to advise on the potential information that may or may not be acquired through particular samples. ECBFAC Treaty Laboratory sampling procedures are covered separately in their documentation.

Sampling, including soil, air, and effluent, is a significant tool available within the CWC as part of the inspection process to determine compliance or non-compliance with the treaty's provisions. Sampling can also be a threat to sensitive or proprietary activities at installations subject to a challenge inspection. Throughout the following discussion, responding to sampling requests by the inspection team should be examined in three ways by the inspected State Party:

- Is the area where sampling can go on accessible to the general public at any time?
- Does the sampling location place sensitive activities at risk?
- Does the timing of the request put other facility activities in the area at risk?

Sampling Rights Contained In The CWC

The treaty explicitly grants the IIT the right to take air, soil, and effluent samples within a 50-meter band outside the inspection perimeter using prescribed equipment to document such facts that are related to possible CWC compliance/noncompliance. Additionally, the treaty specifically allows the IIT the right to request samples inside the inspection perimeter. The treaty balances these rights by allowing the inspected State Party measures to monitor, or limit, the intrusiveness of the sampling activity.

Exit-Monitoring Activities and Securing the Site

Opportunities exist for taking samples before the IIT crosses the perimeter. Upon its arrival at the alternate or final perimeter, the IIT has a right to secure and document the integrity of the inspection perimeter. During this activity, sampling is one tool available to the IIT. Exit monitoring may continue during the duration of the inspection, but may not unreasonably hamper normal operations at the facility.

The treaty grants the IIT access within a 50-meter-wide strip measured outward from the perimeter. From this 50-meter-wide strip the IIT may conduct legitimate inspection procedures, including sampling. The treaty allows the IIT to take its own samples as well as operate other approved sensors. Procedures for sampling and other IIT monitoring activities outside the perimeter should be addressed during point of entry negotiations. At a minimum, the facility should request that they be informed and allowed to monitor any sampling that takes place outside the perimeter within the 50-meter band. The inspected State Party should also suggest that duplicate samples be taken and make every effort to complete analysis while the IIT is on site.

Inspection Procedures

Sampling within the final inspection perimeter may be requested by the IIT and is dependent upon managed access negotiations. It is important to remember that the IIT has a mandate to collect and document such facts as are related to a noncompliance concern. Managed access negotiations, on a case-by-case basis, will produce an agreement on the scope and nature of sampling necessary to provide sufficient relevant facts to clarify treaty compliance. The opportunity for sampling during inspection activities can occur in two instances:

- To document a structure or process that supports compliance/noncompliance
- If an ambiguity is raised that cannot be resolved.

B5-2 CWC Challenge IRP

The sampling procedures inside the perimeter are clear. The IIT may request that a sample be taken, but members of the inspected State Party actually take the sample. The IIT will provide in its preliminary factual findings report a list of the samples taken during a challenge inspection. It is not yet clear if a capability to instantly analyze samples will be available, but both the IIT and the inspected State Party will retain duplicate samples. Provisions for off-site analysis, if any, have not yet been defined. This will have implications for the custody, storage, and transportation of samples.

GUIDANCE FOR SAMPLING DURING A CHALLENGE INSPECTION

General

As noted in the introduction to this appendix, the treaty allows the inspected State Party the right to protect sensitive national security and proprietary information not related to CWC compliance. Through planning, preparation and close coordination with the ECBFAC's Sampling and Analysis (S&A) Team, the facility should successfully manage any request for samples that may arise during the conduct of the inspection. Operational security concerns pertaining to sampling vulnerabilities should be disseminated, as appropriate, to the chain of command, Inspection Ops Center Staff, and escorts.

A key to effectively executing the sampling procedures is the education of escort personnel regarding what sampling support is to be provided by the facility and a general knowledge of any vulnerabilities existing at the facility. Armed with this knowledge, escort personnel can help facilitate communications among the inspection team, national escorts, the ECBFAC's S&A Team, the facility sampling team, and the Inspection Ops Center.

Exit-Monitoring Activities and Securing the Site

When the IIT assumes responsibility for exit monitoring and securing the site, it has the right to take samples. The IIT may also request samples at exits and of exiting traffic. The area in which this activity can occur is limited to a 50-meter-wide band measured outward from the boundary of the inspection perimeter. It is ambiguous in the treaty exactly who controls the operation of sampling equipment during this activity. In practice, an agreement may need to be reached between the IIT and the inspected State Party regarding sampling procedures during the IIT's exit monitoring activities. It is recommended that the facility adopt procedures similar to those outlined in this document. See the following paragraph, "Inspection Procedures," for perimeter sampling activities.

Inspection Procedures

The guiding principle for responses to requests for sampling inside the perimeter should be based on the right of the inspected State Party to protect sensitive national security and proprietary information. A balance exists within the treaty that weighs the inspection team's mandate to collect and document sufficient facts as they are related to possible CWC compliance/noncompliance and this right to protect non-related information. In any case, provisions governing sampling should be included in the inspection plan and should be clarified as necessary during negotiations.

B5-4 CWC Challenge IRP

- **1. Managed Access:** Local prohibitions established by the facility (or higher command) designed to protect sensitive information may come into conflict with a request for a sample by the IIT. Each request will be handled on a case-by-case basis. The following questions are provided to assist in the decision-making process on the part of command personnel in allowing the request:
 - Is the object (place) clearly related to the stated compliance concern?
 - Is there a concrete link between the request and the inspection team's mandate?
 - Is the object (place) readily accessible to the general public?
 - Is there a national security or proprietary concern in the requested sample?

It is anticipated that no one person will be able to adequately address all aspects of these questions, but ultimate decision authority will rest with the Commanding Officer (CO).

- **2. Facility Sampling Team:** It is recommended that the facility, during its preparation activities, form a team to respond to sampling requests throughout the inspection. Ideally, this team should be composed of personnel from medical, environmental, or occupational health and safety backgrounds. The team will need to be familiar with the scientific methods supporting the sampling from air, soil, and effluent sources. The team will need to be equipped with the following items:
 - Maps of the perimeter and inspectable area
 - Communications devices
 - Provisions to determine geographic coordinates and wind direction/speed
 - Sample collection devices (i.e., plastic bags, test tubes, storage containers)
 - Protective equipment
 - Secure work space.

Any sampling within the perimeter should be taken by the S&A Team with the assistance of the facility team and necessary escort personnel. Suggested procedures for sampling inside the perimeter are stated in the Sampling Procedures Checklist. These procedures will need to be agreed upon by the IIT during negotiations and should be included in the inspection plan. The facility sampling team should be given the right to operate the IIT's sampling equipment. It is not clear if any training of the sampling support team will be necessary. While exact procedures are still being defined, two samples should be taken, one for the IIT and the other for the inspected State Party. Each sample should be dated and signed by both parties in order to establish its provenance. Storage, custody, and transportation of the samples will need to be determined through negotiations.

3. Sample Analysis: Instant analysis equipment could be available to the inspection team. Its use will be specified, through negotiation, in the inspection plan. Provisions for transporting samples off site for analysis will also be determined in negotiations. If samples are taken to an off-site laboratory for analysis, special handling and transportation measures for samples may need to be implemented by the facility.

B5-6 CWC Challenge IRP

Inspection Ops Center Sampling Procedures Checklist

Initiate these actions upon receipt of a request from the inspection team to take a sample. Sampling and analysis support will be provided by the Edgewood Chemical and Biological Forensic Analytical Center (ECBFAC) Treaty Laboratory Sampling and Analysis (S&A) team. The facility should provide a sampling team to assist the S&A Team and as a backup in the event the ECBFAC team is unable to participate.

1.	Notify chain of command of the request
	Coordinate the evaluation of the request (refer to the guidelines presented in the Inspection Procedures section of this appendix).
2.	Notify facility sample team to report to Inspection Ops Center before deploying to site of sample request
3.	Provide the following support to the S&A Team

- **Site diagrams:** A minimum of two site diagram wall charts of the site to be inspected. The diagrams will be used for general orientation of the team and to mark specific locations of sampling conducted during perimeter activities and the inspection. If designation of the sampling locations is not standard S&A Team procedure, it will be requested to do so.
- **Workspace:** A work area with a minimum of 150 square feet is required to set up analytical equipment and to be used as a workspace for the S&A Team. The workspace should have: a door with a minimum width of 41 inches to accommodate analytical equipment; adequate lighting; some level of climatic control (50 to 85 °F); and should be capable of being secured. Primary and alternative workspaces should also be designated.
- **Power:** The workspace should have 120 Volt/60Hz with a minimum of four each 20-amp circuits with standard duplex outlets (minimum of three). If this is not available, the S&A Team must be notified as soon as possible to plan for the deployment of transportable electric generators.
- **Chairs/Tables:** Three large tables and a minimum of four chairs are desired.

B5-8 CWC Challenge IRP

- **Forklift:** 1 forklift (500-lb capacity).
- **Protective personnel equipment:** S&A Team members will come equipped with workboots, labcoats, safety glasses, and laboratory gloves. Additional protective equipment necessary for the conduct of sampling will also be provided by the S&A Team.
- **Waste disposal:** The analysis of environmental samples will produce small amounts of hazardous chemical waste. Facility personnel will be responsible for handling waste disposal. The S&A Team will be capable of providing support in identifying and labeling the hazardous waste.
- Analysis of nitric acid: Should an analysis of the nitric acid recovery solution be necessary, the S&A Team may need support from facility sampling team to determine levels of chromium, although this requirement is unlikely. In addition, a method for the analysis of old solutions, colormetric, ion chromatography, etc, may also be necessary. S&A capabilities for analysis of this solution are roughly based on an organic extraction of the solution followed by Gas Chromatography/ Mass Spectrometer (GC/MS) analysis. In this case, only volatile, extractable, chromatographable materials would be observed.
- **Security/Safety/Surety:** All S&A Team members are familiar with ECBFAC site regulations regarding security, safety, and surety. Site-specific briefings on the above are required to be performed by the facility. Necessary badges and vehicle passes are also required from the facility.
- **Phones:** One DSN-capable and one on- and off-site call capable phone are desired in the work space.

4.	Notify the Base Escort Coordinator when clearance to take a sample is obtained				
 4. 5. 	Enter in the Inspection Ops Center log the time, date, and location of the sample				
	The duplicate samples and Inspection Sampling form will be forwarded by the facility sampling team personnel to the Inspection Ops Center.				

B5-10 CWC Challenge IRP

6.	Complete appropriate section of Record of Inspection Sampling form (Figure B5-1) and secure sample
7.	Record entry in Inspection Ops Center Master Sample Log (Figure B5-2)
8.	Conduct a review of samples with appropriate program/ security personnel
	If approved for release, provide the IIT's copy of the sample to the USG Escort Team Leader for forwarding to the IIT.
9.	Compare the Inspection Ops Center Master Sample Log with the inspector's list
	When the IIT presents its preliminary factual findings report, a list of the samples taken will be included.

B5-12 CWC Challenge IRP

Facility Sampling Team Procedures Checklist

Initiate these actions upon receipt of a request from the inspection team to take a sample. All requests for samples will be coordinated through the Inspection Ops Center.

1.	Upon notification of a sampling request, deploy to the Inspection Ops Center
2.	Receive authorization to collect sample, deploy to sampling site
	3. Upon agreement with the International Inspection Team (IIT), and in coordination with the ECBFAC Sample and Analysis (S&A) Team, provide assistance in collecting the sample
4.	Determine if the sample is acceptable to the IIT member
	If acceptable, then assist in collecting another sample. If not acceptable, determine from the inspector what would be acceptable. Take a duplicate sample once acceptability has been reached.
5.	Sign, seal, and time/date each sample, have the IIT member sign □
6.	Complete Record of Inspection Sampling form (Figure B5-1)
7.	Forward all samples and associated forms to the Inspection Ops Center
	Conduct a review of samples with appropriate program/security personnel. If approved for release, provide the IIT's copy of the sample to the USG Escort Team Leader for forwarding to the IIT.

B5-14 CWC Challenge IRP

Escort Personnel Sampling Procedures Checklist

Initiate these actions upon receipt of a request from the inspection team to take a sample. All requests for samples will be coordinated through the Inspection Ops Center.

1.	Notify Inspection Ops Center of request
	If necessary, use a landline. Briefly describe nature of request and the contents of the requested sample, if known. If appropriate and secure, notify the Inspection Ops Center of any known sampling vulnerabilities.
2.	Await arrival of ECBFAC S&A Team/facility sampling team
3.	Obtain clearance to take a sample from Inspection Ops Center

B5-16 CWC Challenge IRP

RECORD OF INSPECTION SAMPLING					
TO BE COMPLETED BY SAMPLING	TO BE COMPLETED BY SAMPLING TEAM PERSONNEL				
Time/Date:	Sample No:	Recorded by:			
Wind direction/speed:	Geographic cod	ordinate:			
Sample authorization received from:					
Description of sample:	Sampling methor	od (brief description):			
☐ Air ☐ Soil ☐ Effluent ☐ Other (specify)					
Handling and storage Instructions:	Handling and storage Instructions:				
Provide Diagram of Sample Location	ı				
Has sample been signed and dated by both inspector and escort? ☐ Yes ☐ No	Comments:				
RETURN ALL SAMPLES AND THIS	FORM TO INSP	PECTION OPS CENTER			
TO BE COMPLETED BY INSPECTI	ON OPS CENTE	R PERSONNEL			
Record entry in Master Sample Log		Sample secured per instructions □			
Actions verified by:					

Figure B5-1. Record of Inspection Sampling Form

B5-18 CWC Challenge IRP

INSPECT	INSPECTION OPS CENTER MASTER SAMPLE LOG				
Sample No.	Time/Date	Location	Brief Description		
Note: Co	pies of this fo	orm or a standa	ard Navy logbook may be used as appropriate.		

Figure B5-2. Inspection Operations Center Master Sample Log

B5-20 CWC Challenge IRP

Appendix B6

PHOTOGRAPHY

Appendix B6 provides an explanation of the International Inspection Team's (IIT) treaty rights to photograph and guidance to ensure that facility's sensitive activities are protected from photographic threat during a Chemical Weapons Convention (CWC) challenge inspection.

RESPONSIBILITIES

The Challenge Inspection Officer (CIO) is responsible for coordination of all photography requests.

BACKGROUND

Photography, including still and video, is a powerful tool available within the CWC as part of the inspection process to determine compliance with the treaty's provisions. Photography is also a paramount threat to sensitive activities at facilities subject to CWC challenge inspections. Throughout the following discussion, it should be remembered that areas of the facility that could be photographed by the public during air shows, open houses, dependents day activities, etc., are not threatened by the presence of the IIT. Those procedures that are normally taken during public events that allow photography on the facility should, therefore, be considered as a valid starting point for implementation during a CWC challenge inspection.

PHOTOGRAPHY RIGHTS CONTAINED IN THE CWC

The treaty explicitly grants the IIT the right to take photographs using prescribed equipment to document such facts that are related to possible CWC compliance/noncompliance. The treaty balances this right by allowing the inspected State Party measures to monitor, or limit, the intrusiveness of the photographic activity. The CWC provides several opportunities for photography during a challenge inspection.

Photo Opportunities

Several opportunities exist for taking photographs and videos during a CWC challenge inspection. Before the IIT's arrival at the requested perimeter, the inspected State Party conducts self-monitoring at all vehicle exits. Self-monitoring information may include still or video photography. When the IIT arrives at the alternative or final perimeter, they have a right to secure and document the integrity of the inspection perimeter. During this activity, photography (both still and video) is a tool available to the IIT for exit-monitoring purposes. This activity may continue during the duration of the inspection, but may not unreasonably hamper normal operations at the facility.

The treaty also grants the inspection team access in a 50-meter-wide strip of land measured outward from the perimeter. From this 50-meter-wide strip the IIT may conduct legitimate inspection procedures, including still photography and the making of video recordings. The treaty allows the IIT to compose its own photographs as well as operate other approved sensors with the provision that all monitoring, including photography, be directed inward. All procedures for photography and other inspection team monitoring activities should normally be addressed during point of entry negotiations.

Photography *within* the final inspection perimeter may be requested by the IIT and is dependent upon managed access negotiations. It is important to remember that the IIT has a mandate to collect and document such facts as are related to a noncompliance concern. The IIT may not take photographs that are clearly not related to possible noncompliance of the CWC, unless the inspected State Party expressly requests the IIT to do so.

Inspection Procedures

Managed access negotiations, on a case-by-case basis, will produce an agreement on the scope and nature of photographic access necessary to provide sufficient relevant facts to clarify treaty compliance. The opportunity for photography during inspection activities can occur in two instances:

- To document a structure or process that supports compliance/noncompliance
- An ambiguity is raised that cannot be resolved.

B6-2 CWC Challenge IRP

The photography procedures inside the perimeter are clear. The IIT may request that a photograph be taken (to include framing a photograph's content), but members of the inspected State Party control the operation of the camera. The IIT shall provide in its preliminary factual findings report a list of the photographs taken during a challenge inspection. The capability to take instant development photographs must be available, and both the IIT and the inspected State Party retain one copy of every photograph.

GUIDANCE FOR PHOTOGRAPHY DURING A CHALLENGE INSPECTION

General

Containing the threat posed by photography during a challenge inspection depends on the ability to make rapid judgments by the chain of command and the training of escort personnel. As noted in the introduction to this appendix, no photography threat is posed by the IIT in areas of the installation accessible to the general public or viewable from outside of the facility boundary. Precautions normally taken to prepare the facility for an open house, air show, or Dependents' Day activities should be adequate for these areas.

The treaty allows the inspected State Party the right to protect sensitive national security and proprietary information not related to CWC compliance. This is a powerful tool available in managed access negotiations to limit the intrusiveness of photography and other activities performed by the IIT. Operational security concerns pertaining to photography vulnerabilities should be disseminated, as appropriate, to the chain of command, Inspection Ops Center staff, and escorts.

The most important link in executing effective photography procedures is educating escort personnel about the photography vulnerabilities existing at the installation. Armed with this knowledge, escort personnel can facilitate communications among the IIT, national escorts, and Inspection Ops Center.

Exit Monitoring Activities and Securing the Site

Procedures for photography by the inspected State Party during the installation's self-monitoring period are detailed in Tab F, Self-Monitoring. It is recommended that the Operations Security Officer assess the self-monitoring photography provisions (i.e., camera angles), especially for the making of videos. When assessing photography vulnerabilities along the perimeter and at exit portals, it is important to remember the perspective of the IIT (from the outside looking in) vice the perspective of the installation (from the inside looking out).

When the IIT assumes responsibility for exit monitoring and securing the site, the IIT has the right to conduct procedures consistent with its mandate. This includes the taking of still photographs and making of videos. The area in which this activity can occur is limited to a 50-meter-wide band measured from the boundary of the inspection perimeter.

The IIT appears to have the right to take photographs and make video recordings of exits and exiting traffic. It is ambiguous in the treaty exactly who controls the operation of photography equipment for other aspects of perimeter activities. In practice, an agreement may need to be reached between the IIT and the inspected State Party regarding photography procedures during the IIT's exitmonitoring activities.

If the inspection perimeter coincides with the installation's boundary with public areas, the photography vulnerability is considerably lessened. The photography vulnerability increases if the inspection perimeter bisects areas of the facility and impacts should be assessed.

Inspection Procedures

The position guiding responses to requests for photography inside the perimeter should be based on the right granted by the treaty to the inspected State Party to protect sensitive national security and proprietary information. A balance exists within the treaty that weighs the IIT's mandate to collect and document sufficient facts as are related to possible CWC compliance/noncompliance and this right to protect non-related information. In any case, provisions governing photography should be included in the inspection plan and should be clarified as necessary during negotiations.

Local prohibitions established by the installation (or higher command element) designed to protect sensitive information may come into conflict with a request for a photograph by the IIT. A case-by-case judgment will be necessary to rapidly resolve the issue, allow the IIT to carry out its legitimate treaty rights, as well as protect sensitive information. The following questions are designed to assist inspection chain of command personnel in developing such judgments:

- Is the object (place) clearly related to the stated compliance concern?
- Is there a concrete link between the request and the IIT's mandate?
- Is the object (place) readily viewable to the general public?
- Is there a national security or proprietary concern in the requested photograph?

It is anticipated that no one person will be able to adequately address all aspects of these questions, but ultimate authority will rest with the CO.

B6-4 CWC Challenge IRP

Any photography within the perimeter should be taken by escort personnel and preferably should be with the IIT's instant developing camera. Two copies of each photograph should be taken, one for the IIT and the other for the inspected State Party. Each photograph should be dated and signed by both parties to clearly establish its provenance.

Although specific CWC challenge inspection guidance regarding photography has not been promulgated by the Department of Defense, video recording within the perimeter normally should not be required and should be prohibited unless other arrangements are agreed to by both parties.

B6-6 CWC Challenge IRP

Inspection Ops Center Photography Procedures Checklist

Initiate these actions upon receipt of a request from the International Inspection Team (IIT) to take a photograph inside the inspection perimeter. This procedure establishes the provenance of the photograph.

1.	Evaluate the request for a photograph	□
	Coordinate the evaluation of the request (refer to the guidelines presented in the Inspection Procedures section of this appendix).	
2.	Notify the base escort of clearance to take a photograph, if allowed	🗆
3.	Assign a unique photo number to each photo	🗆
	Refer to Master Photo Log (Figure B6-1) to assign next sequential number.	
4.	Enter in the Inspection Ops Center Record of Inspection Photography form (Figure B6-2) the time/date and location of photograph	🗆
5.	Complete appropriate section of form and secure photograph	. 🗆
6.	Record entry in Inspection Ops Center Master Photo Log (Figure B6-1)	🗆
	the copies of the photograph and Record of Inspection Photography form are forwarded se escort personnel, do the following:	

B6-8 CWC Challenge IRP

7.	Conduct a review of photographs with appropriate program/ security personnel	🗆
	If approved for release, provide the IIT's copy of the photograph to the United States Government (USG) Escort Team Leader for forwarding to the IIT Leader.	
8.	Compare the Inspection Ops Center Master Photo Log (Figure B6-1) with the inspector's list	🗆
	When the IIT presents its preliminary factual findings report, a list of the photographs taken will be included for comparison.	
9.	Provide Operations Security personnel access to copies of the photographs, Record of Inspection Photography form (Figure B6-2), and Inspection Ops Center Master Photo Log (Figure B6-1)	🗆

B6-10 CWC Challenge IRP

Base Escort's Photography Procedures Checklist

Initiate these actions upon receipt of a request from the International Inspection Team (IIT) to take a photograph inside the inspection perimeter. This procedure establishes the provenance of the photograph.

1.	Notify Inspection Ops Center of request	🗆
	If necessary use a landline. Briefly describe contents and background of proposed photograph. If appropriate and secure, notify the Inspection Operations Center of any known photography vulnerabilities.	
2.	Obtain clearance to take a photo from Inspection Ops Center	🗆
3.	Upon agreement with the IIT member, take one photo	🗆
4.	Determine if it is acceptable to the IIT member	🗆
	If acceptable, then take another photo. If not acceptable, determine from the inspector what would be acceptable.	
5.	Sign and time/date each photograph	🗆
6.	Have the IIT member sign each photograph	🗆
7.	Complete Record of Inspection Photography form (Figure B6-2)	🗆
8.	Forward both photographs to the Inspection Ops Center	🗆
	The Inspection Ops Center will conduct a final review and determine approval for release. The IIT's copy will be delivered to the IIT chief by the USG Escort Team Leader.	

B6-12 CWC Challenge IRP

INSPECTION OPS CENTER MASTER PHOTO LOG						
Photo No.	Time/Date	Brief Description				
Note: Copies of this form may be used or a standard Navy logbook, as appropriate.						

Figure B6-1. Inspection Ops Center Master Photo Log

B6-14 CWC Challenge IRP

RECORD OF INSPECTION PHOTOGRAPHY							
TO BE COMPLETED BY ESCORT PERSONNEL							
Time/Date:	Photo No:	Recorded by:					
Photo authorization received from:							
Brief description of photo contents:							
Have both photos been signed and dated by both inspector and escort? ☐ Yes ☐ No	Comments:						
RETURN BOTH COPIES OF PHOTO TO INSPECTION OPS CENTER							
TO BE COMPLETED BY INSPECTION OPS CENTER PERSONNEL							
Record entry in Master Photo Log□	Photo secured in Inspection Ops Center						
Has photo been approved for release	If yes, has one copy been provided to the USG team chief?						
□ Yes □ No		□ Yes □ No					
Actions verified by:							

Figure B6-2. Record of Inspection Photography Form

B6-16 CWC Challenge IRP